1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANTHONY RAEHEIM GRAHAM, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-99-2551 LKK JFM P 12 VS. 13 GEORGE GALAZA, Warden, et al., 14 Respondents. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ 17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On January 25, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. After 22 receiving two extensions of time, petitioner has filed objections to the findings and 23 recommendations. Respondents have filed a reply to petitioner's objections. 24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire ///// 26

Case 2:99-cv-02551-LKK-JFM Document 39 Filed 05/20/05 Page 2 of 2

1	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
2	proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed January 25, 2005, are adopted in full;
5	and
6	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.
7	DATED: May 19, 2005.
8	
9	/a/Lawranaa V. Varltan
10	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	/grah2551.805
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	